

AD-A091 511

NAVAL RESEARCH LAB WASHINGTON DC  
DESIGN OF A HIGH POWER 240 HGZ GYROMONOTRON. (U).  
NOV 80 J D SILVERSTEIN, M E READ, K R CHU  
NRL-MR-4357

F/G 9/5

UNCLASSIFIED

NL

1 x 1  
40A  
91

END  
DATE FILMED  
12-80  
DTIC

AD A091511



## Design of a High Power 240 GHz Gyromonotron

J. D. SILVERSTEIN


*Harry Diamond Laboratory  
Adelphi, MD*

and

M. E. READ AND K. R. CHU

*Electron Beam Applications Branch  
Plasma Physics Division*

November 4, 1980



NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY  
Washington, D.C.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DDC FILE COPY  
100

00-00-00059

## SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

<b>REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE</b>		<b>READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM</b>
1. REPORT NUMBER <b>NRL Memorandum Report 4357</b>	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. <b>AD-A091 547</b>	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) <b>DESIGN OF A HIGH POWER 240 GHz GYROMONOTRON</b>	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim report on a continuing NRL problem.	
6. AUTHOR(s) <b>J. D. Silverstein, M. E. Read, K. R. Chu</b>	7. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) <b>16) RR011091</b>	
8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Research Laboratory Washington, DC 20375	9. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS <b>61153N, RR01109419</b> 67-0866-0-0	
10. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217	11. REPORT DATE <b>11) 4 Nov 80</b>	
12. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) <b>14) NRL-MR-4357</b>	13. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>25</b>	
14. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) <b>UNCLASSIFIED</b>	
16. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Present address: Harry Diamond Laboratory Adelphi, MD		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Gyrotron Millimeter waves Radar		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A second harmonic gyromonotron has been designed to have an output of 4 kilowatts at a frequency of 240 GHz, and to operate with an overall efficiency of 14%. The design method utilized a detailed theory of the gyrotron oscillator and an electron orbit computer code. Particular attention was paid to the problem of mode competition in the oscillator cavity. Although a particular design example is considered, the method is of general interest.		

## CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS .....	2
III. EFFICIENCY OPTIMIZATION .....	4
IV. MODE COMPETITION .....	10
ACKNOWLEDGMENT .....	13
REFERENCES .....	14

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/ _____	
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or	
Dist	Special
P	

## DESIGN OF A HIGH POWER 240 GHz GYROMONOTRON

### I. Introduction

During the past several years the gyrotron<sup>1</sup> has been shown to be an efficient source (4 to 45 percent) of high power radiation (1 kW to 1MW) at wavelengths less than 1 cm. Although a number of such devices have been operated successfully in the U.S. in the 8 to 10 mm range,<sup>2,3</sup> the only devices operating in the near-millimeter wave range ( $\approx$ 3 mm) are those built in the Soviet Union.<sup>4,5</sup>

This paper describes results of the design phase of the first known effort in the U.S. to build an efficient, high-power gyrotron oscillator operating with a wavelength near 1 mm. Specifically, the design goals for this gyromonotron (i.e., single-cavity cyclotron resonance maser (CRM)) were 1 to 10 kW (peak) at 1.3 mm and an overall efficiency of 10 to 15 percent. It was to operate at the second harmonic of the cyclotron frequency ( $\omega \approx 2\Omega_c$ ) and with a cavity mode of TE<sub>051</sub>. Although these last two facts allow operation at reduced magnetic field and higher power than would be possible with the fundamental and lower order modes, they greatly increase the problem of mode competition; the circumvention of this complication is one of the main considerations in this design.

---

Manuscript submitted August 19, 1980.

## II. Design Considerations

A schematic of the device is shown in Fig. 1. The design utilized both analytical and numerical methods. For the beam-wave coupling and oscillation start conditions, the linear theory of Chu<sup>6</sup> was used. Calculation and optimization of the device efficiency required the use of a code initially developed by Drobot,<sup>7</sup> and modified by the authors.

The desired output of the device was 1 to 10 kW at the frequency of 240 GHz. An operating mode of the class of TE<sub>one</sub> was considered desirable because of the ease with which other modes can be preferentially damped.<sup>8</sup> In addition, these modes are well suited to a high power device, since wall losses in the cavity and output waveguides are minimal for modes without azimuthal variations. The value of n was chosen to be 5 to allow a large enough cavity radius to minimize breakdown or heating problems and reduce perturbations caused by machining errors, while allowing operation near cutoff. (The latter is necessary for optimum CRM coupling.<sup>1</sup>) It is desirable to operate at as low a cyclotron harmonic as possible in order to minimize mode competition. Since the maximum magnetic field available was 60 kG, the minimum possible cyclotron harmonic, S, and the one chosen, was 2.

The initial ratio of perpendicular to parallel electron momentum,  $\alpha$ , important because only the perpendicular energy is available to the cyclotron resonance maser interaction, has an upper limit fixed by present electron-gun technology. A reasonable estimate for this limit, without knowledge of the exact gun design, appeared to be 1.5.<sup>9</sup> Finally, the beam voltage was chosen to be  $V = 30$  kV, a value deemed to be practical for non-laboratory devices.




Fig. 1 — Schematic of 240 GHz gyrotron oscillator and plot of axial magnetic field

The linear theory allowed calculation of the beam-wave coupling, which is inversely proportional to the product of electron beam power  $P$ , and cavity  $Q$  required for an oscillation to start. The parameters varied in these calculations were  $L$  (cavity length),  $r$  (mean beam radius), and  $\ell$  (axial eigen-number of the cavity mode). There are several locally optimum values of  $r$ . The strongest coupling occurs for the smallest of these values,<sup>6</sup>  $r = 0.19b$ , where  $b$  is the cavity wall radius. This value therefore requires the lowest starting current and, despite the small beam radius, yielded the smallest spread in velocities due to space charge. As seen in Fig. 2, the mode  $\ell = 1$  has stronger coupling than modes for which  $\ell > 1$ , and the choice for that parameter was so determined.

### III. Efficiency Optimization

The optimization of the device efficiency was then carried out by varying the remaining parameters,  $L$ ,  $I$  (beam current),  $Q_L$  (leakage  $Q$ ) and  $B$  (external magnetic field), with the boundary conditions as follows:

$$Q_L > 4\pi(L/\lambda)^2, \quad (1)$$

$$I_{th} < I < 2 A, \quad (2)$$

$$\delta\Delta = \delta[(\omega - kv_n - \frac{S\Omega}{\gamma})\tau] \ll 2.5\pi, \text{ and} \quad (3)$$

$$Q_L < Q_{\text{ohmic}}. \quad (4)$$

The authors' output power requirement meant that

$$10^3 W < VI < 10^4 W. \quad (5)$$

The condition in Eq. (1) states that the leakage  $Q$  has a lower limit, usually termed the diffraction  $Q$ . The condition in Eq. (2) states that  $I$  must exceed the minimum current required for start of oscillation and be less than 2 A. The latter upper limit on  $I$  is imposed by the predicted dependence of electron

velocity spread on current density at the cathode of the electron gun.<sup>11</sup> In the present case this upper limit is less than the maximum allowed by voltage depression due to space charge in the cavity. Eq. (3) is the criterion given by Chu<sup>6</sup> on the maximum allowed spread in the phase shift,  $\Delta$ , between cyclotron and electromagnetic (cavity) modes. In this equation  $\tau = L/v_{\parallel}$ ,  $v_{\parallel}$  is the velocity parallel to the magnetic field,  $k = \pi l/L$ ,  $\omega$  and  $\omega_c$  are the radiation and relativistic cyclotron frequencies, respectively, and  $\gamma$  is the normalized electron energy. Finally, the condition in Eq. (4) must be satisfied in order for the ohmic losses to be small.

The code used for the optimization integrates the electron energy loss along its orbit. It is a single particle, 3 dimensional code, and calculations are made with steady-state cold cavity field profiles. Optimization was accomplished in a three parameter space, the parameters being  $L$ ,  $B$  and  $QI$ . The parameters  $L$  and  $B$  determine the phase shift,  $\Delta$ , in Eq. (3), and the parameter  $QI$ , when combined with  $n_{CRM}$  (CRM efficiency) and the cavity mode and geometry, yields a measure of the magnitude of electromagnetic fields in the cavity.

The results of the optimization runs for CRM efficiency,  $n_{CRM}$ , versus  $QI$ , with  $\Delta$  as parameter and  $L = 8b$  are shown in Fig. 3. We note that the variation of  $n_{CRM}$  with field amplitude predicted by the code for small amplitudes is in excellent agreement with that given by the linear theory. The threshold values,  $(QI)_{th}$ , from the linear theory are indicated by the vertical lines in Fig. 3.

## (QP)<sub>TH</sub> VS L/b




Fig. 2 — Threshold QP for gyrotron oscillator vs. cavity length-to-radius ratios from gyrotron cavity theory. (QP)<sub>th</sub> for  $l = 2, 3, 4, 5$  have nearly the same value so they are represented by the same curve.




Fig. 3 — CRM efficiency vs. QI from electron orbit computer code. The two numbers in the parentheses are, respectively,  $\Delta$  in radians and B in kG.

The results for maximum CRM efficiency  $\eta_{CRM}^{max}$ , obtained by varying B and QI for various fixed values of L, are shown in Fig. 4. It can be seen that  $\eta_{CRM}^{max}$  has a value of 30 percent for a cavity length  $L = 4b$ . However, the overall (loaded) efficiency,  $\eta_L$ , unlike  $\eta_{CRM}$ , depends on wall losses. It is therefore limited by the boundary conditions (1) through (5) and the maximum achievable ohmic Q of 20,000 in a copper cavity at room temperature. The result is that the highest value of  $\eta_L$  is achieved with a cavity length  $L = 8b$ , even though  $\eta_{CRM}^{max}$  for this cavity length is only 22 percent. This cavity length was therefore chosen for the design. From Fig. 3, a QI value of  $8.0 \times 10^3$  amperes maximizes  $\eta_{CRM}$ . Assuming an achievable  $Q_L$  of 7300 (1.3 times the diffraction Q), the total Q of the cavity is 5300, resulting in a required beam current of 1.5 A;  $\eta_L$  is then 16 percent.

Our predictions of efficiency versus QI for this gyromonotron have been compared with those based on data from the theory of Nusinovich and Erm.<sup>10</sup> This theory assumes that the rf electric field in the cavity has a Gaussian dependence on axial distance. Such a field shape is known to result in a higher efficiency than the purely sinusoidal shape of a straight cylindrical cavity. The lowest QI for which a comparison could be made by extrapolating the Nusinovich and Erm data is  $1.55 \times 10^4$  A. Assuming an ohmic Q of 20,000 as above, a total Q of 7000, and therefore, a current of 2.2 A, those data predict an  $\eta_L$  of 16 percent whereas our simulation code predicts an  $\eta_L$  of 11 percent.




Fig. 4 — Maximum CRM efficiency vs. cavity length-to-radius ratio from electron orbit code

The geometry, beam parameters, and magnetic field determine the phase shift,  $\Delta$ , given by equation (3). Figure 3 shows that the mechanism is "strong" for  $0 < \Delta < 4.5$ . This agrees with the analytical theory<sup>6</sup> that strong coupling occurs within the range  $-\pi/2 < \Delta < 2\pi$ . It is clear that for the stable operation of a device, the phase shift associated with any electrons should not be outside this range. Preferably, the range should be much less than  $2.5\pi$ . This puts a limit on the inhomogeneity in the static magnetic field and on the electron gun-dependent beam temperature.

The computer code results of Fig. 3 show that in order for this device to operate within 10 percent of its maximum efficiency, spread in the magnetic field should be no greater than 0.2 percent. Other runs with the computer code were made in which the electron velocity components were varied, with the electron energy, applied magnetic field, and rf field amplitude held constant. Based on these runs, the 22 percent CRM efficiency for zero spread in  $v_{||}$  would be reduced to 21 percent for a 10 percent spread in  $v_{||}$  and to 20 percent for a 20 percent spread in  $v_{||}$ .

#### IV. Mode Competition

Until now it has been assumed that the cavity can only oscillate in the  $TE_{051}$  mode. In order to assess the effects of mode competition,  $(QI)_{th}$  for other  $TE_{onl}$  modes was calculated by means of the linear theory at values of  $\Delta$  for those modes corresponding to the range in  $\Delta$  for the  $TE_{051}$ ,  $S=2$  mode in Fig. 3. Values of  $S$  ranging from 1 through 3 were scanned. As was expected,  $(QI)_{th}$  for a number of  $TE_{onl}$ ,  $S=1$  modes was less than that for the  $TE_{051}$ ,  $S=2$  mode due to the stronger coupling at the first cyclotron harmonic than at the second. However, due to the rather strong dependence of  $\Delta$  on resonant frequency (see eq. (3)), the only modes with  $S=1$  for which the requirement  $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \Delta < 2\pi$  was satisfied were those with rather large axial mode number,  $l$ . Assuming a coupling scheme in which  $Q_L$  has the previously stated value of 7300 for  $TE_{051}$ , the value of  $Q_L$  for modes with larger  $l$  is correspondingly smaller.<sup>8</sup> The result is

that for the entire range in  $\Delta$  or  $B$  over which the gyrotron would be operated for oscillations in the  $TE_{051}$ ,  $S=2$  mode, the lowest  $S=1$  mode threshold power, which occurs for the  $TE_{028}$  mode, is still about ten times that of the  $TE_{051}$ ,  $S=2$  mode. The only modes whose threshold power is comparable to that of the  $TE_{051}$ ,  $S=2$  mode are other  $TE_{05\ell}$ ,  $S=2$  modes.

The results for  $P_{th}$  vs  $\Delta$  or  $B$  are shown in Fig. 5. It is seen that while the  $TE_{051}$  mode has the lowest threshold for high magnetic fields, the  $TE_{052}$  mode has a lower threshold for  $B = 44.86$  kG, the field for which the  $TE_{051}$  mode is most efficient, as is evident from Fig. 3. In order to assure stable operation in this mode, a reasonable operating point is probably 44.86 kG. Fig. 3 then shows that  $\eta_{CRM}$  is reduced from 22 percent to 18 percent, leading to a reduction in  $\eta_L$  from 16 percent to 13 percent.

The device parameters resulting from the design are given in Table I.




Fig. 5 — Threshold power for gyrotron oscillation in various  $TE_{0n1}$  modes vs.  $B$  from gyrotron cavity theory.  $Q_L = 7300$  for the  $TE_{051}$  mode.

TABLE I  
GYROMONOTRON PARAMETERS

Frequency	240 GHz
Cavity mode	TE <sub>051</sub>
Output power	3.5 kW
Cavity diameter x length	6.6 x 26.2 mm
Beam voltage	30 kV
Beam current	0.9 A
Beam geometry	annular
Mean beam diameter	1.2mm
Initial ratio of electron perpendicular to parallel momentum in cavity	1.5
Beam thickness	0.2mm
Magnetic field	45 kG
Magnetic field homogeneity in cavity	0.2%
Beam temperature:	
Axial component	10%
Transverse component	5%

Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledge the many useful suggestions from and discussions with A. T. Drobot and V. Granatstein during the design of this device.

### References

1. V. A. Flyagin, A. V. Gaponov, M. I. Petelin, and V. K. Yulpatov, The Gyrotron, IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques MTT-25, No. 6, 514 (1977).
2. M. Read, L. Seftor, R. Lucey, K. R. Chu, and J. D. Silverstein, A High Power 35 GHz Microwave Source for ECRH, Conference Record (Abstracts) of 1978 IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, p. 302.
3. H. Jory, S. Hegji, J. Shivley, and R. Symons, Gyrotron Developments, Microwave Journal 21, No. 8, 30 (1978).
4. N. I. Zaytsev, T. B. Pankratova, M. I. Petelin, and V. A. Flyagin, Millimeter and Submillimeter-Wave Gyrotrons, Radio Engineering and Electronic Physics 19, No. 5, 103 (1974).
5. A. A. Andronov, V. A. Flyagin, A. V. Gaponov, A. L. Gol'denberg, M. I. Petelin, V. G. Usov, and V. K. Ulpatov, The Gyrotron: High Power Source of Millimetre and Submillimetre Waves, Infrared Physics 18, No. 5/6, 385 (1978).
6. K. R. Chu, Theory of Electron Cyclotron Maser Interactions in a Cavity at the Harmonic Frequencies, Phys. of Fluids 21, 2354-2364 (1978).
7. P. Sprangle and A. T. Drobot, The Linear and Self-Consistent Non-Linear Theory of the Electron Cyclotron Maser Instability, IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques MTT-25, No. 6, 528 (1977).

8. M. Read, R. M. Gilgenbach, R. Lucey, K. R. Chu, J. Silverstein and V. L. Granatstein, Operation of a 35 GHz Gyromonotron, NRL Technical Report, 1979.
9. L. Seftor, A. Drobot, and K. R. Chu, An Investigation of a Magnetron Injection Electron Gun Suitable for Use in a Cyclotron Resonance Maser, NRL Memorandum Report 3697, 1978
10. G. S. Nusinovich and R. E. Erm, Elektron Tekh., Ser. 1, Elektron. SVCh, No. 2, 55 (1972); also A. V. Gaponov, A. L. Gol'denberg, D. P. Grigor'ev, T. B. Pankratova, M. I. Petelin, and V. A. Flyagin, Experimental Investigation of Centimeter-Band Gyrotrons, Radiophysics and Quantum Electronics 18, No. 2, 204-211 (1975).
11. Varian Associates, private communication.

## GYROTRON & ECH DISTRIBUTION LIST

- (25) Naval Research Laboratory Code: 4700 - Dr. T. Coffey  
 (26) Attn: Name/Code 4740 - Dr. V.L. Granatstein  
 4555 Overlook Avenue, S.W. 4740 - Dr. R.K. Parker  
 Washington, D.C. 20375 4740 - Dr. K.R. Chu  
     4740 - Dr. M.E. Read  
     4740 - Dr. C.W. Roberson  
     4790 - Dr. P.A. Sprangle  
     4790 - Dr. B. Hui  
     4790 - Dr. W.M. Manheimer  
     6850 - Dr. L.R. Whicker  
     6853 - Dr. A. Ganguly  
     6805 - Dr. S.Y. Ahn  
     6805 - N.R. Vanderplaats  
     6875 - Dr. R. Wagner  
     4740 - Dr. S. Gold
- 
- On-Site Contractors: Code: 4740 - Dr. J.M. Baird (B-K Dynamics)  
     4740 - Dr. L. Barnett (B-K Dynamics)  
     4740 - Dr. D. Dialetis (SAI)  
     4740 - A.J. Dudas (JAYCOR)  
     4740 - Dr. R.M. Gilgenbach (JAYCOR)  
     4740 - Dr. K.J. Kim (JAYCOR)  
     4740 - Dr. Y.Y. Lau (SAI)  
     4740 - Dr. J.S. Silverstein (HDL)  
     4790 - Dr. A.J. Drobot (SAI)  
     4790 - Dr. C.M. Hui (JAYCOR)  
     4790 - Dr. J. Vomvoridis (JAYCOR)  
     5704S - Dr. S. Smith (LOCUS, Inc.)
- 
- (3) Secretary Dr. M. Johnson (G-234)  
 Department of Energy Dr. M. Murphy (G-234)  
 Attn: Dr. J. Willis (G-234)  
 Washington, D.C. 20545
- (1) Air Force Avionics Laboratory  
 Attn: W. Friz  
 Wright/Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433
- (1) Bell Laboratories  
 Attn: Dr. W.M. Walsh, Jr.  
 600 Mountain Avenue  
 Murray Hill, New Jersey 07971
- (1) Columbia University  
 Department of Electrical Engineering  
 Attn: Dr. S.P. Schlesinger  
 New York, New York 10027
- (1) Dartmouth College  
 Physics Department  
 Attn: Dr. John Walsh  
 Dartmouth, New Hampshire 03755

- (32) Defense Technical Information Center  
 Cameron Station  
 5010 Duke Street  
 Alexandria, Virginia 22314
- (2) Georgia Institute of Technology  
 Engineering Experimental Station  
 Attn:  
 Atlanta, Georgia 30332 Dr. James J. Gallagher  
 J. Wiltse
- (3) Hughes Aircraft Co.  
 Attn:  
 Electron Dynamics Division  
 3100 Lomita Boulevard  
 Torrance, California 90509 Dr. J.J. Tancredi  
 K. Arnold  
 K. Amboss
- (1) Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory  
 Attn: Dr. Paul Tallerico  
 P.O. Box 1663  
 Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545
- (1) Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
 Research Laboratory of Electronics  
 Attn: Dr. G. Bekefi  
 Bldg. 36, Rm. 36-225  
 Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139
- (3) Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
 Plasma Fusion Center  
 Attn:  
 167 Albany St., N.W. 16-200  
 Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 Dr. R. Davidson  
 Dr. M. Porkolab  
 Dr. R. Temkin
- (4) Northrop Corporation  
 Defense System Department  
 Electronics Division  
 Attn:  
 175 W. Oakton St.  
 Des Plaines, Illinois 60018 G. Doehler  
 O. Doehler  
 R. Espinosa  
 R. Moates
- (2) Oak Ridge National Laboratories  
 Attn:  
 P.O. Box Y  
 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830 Dr. A. England  
 M. Loring
- (1) Princeton University  
 Plasma Physics Laboratory  
 Attn: Dr. H. Hsuan  
 Princeton, New Jersey 08540
- (2) Raytheon Company  
 Microwave Power Tube Division  
 Attn:  
 Willow St.  
 Waltham, Massachusetts 02154 R. Edwards  
 R. Handy

- (1) Science Applications, Inc.  
Attn: Dr. Alvin Trivelpiece  
1200 Prospect St.  
La Jolla, California 92037
- (1) UKAEA Culham Laboratory  
Attn: Dr. A. C. Riviere  
Abingdon  
Oxfordshire  
United Kingdom
- (1) Stanford University  
SLAC  
Attn: Dr. Jean Lebacqz  
Stanford, California 94305
- (1) University of Arizona  
Optical Sciences Center  
Attn: Dr. W.E. Lamb  
Tucson, Arizona 85720
- (1) Varian Associates  
Bldg. 1  
Attn: Dr. H. Jory  
611 Hansen Way  
Palo Alto, California 94303
- (1) Yale University  
Mason Laboratory  
Attn: Dr. J.L. Hirshfield  
400 Temple Street  
New Haven, Connecticut 06520
- (1) Kings College  
University of London  
Attn: Dr. P. Lindsay  
London, United Kingdom
- (1) Nagoya University  
Institute of Plasma Physics  
Attn: Dr. H. Ikegami  
Nagoya, Japan 464
- (1) National Taiwan University  
Dept. of Physics  
Attn: Dr. Yuin-Chi Hsu  
Taipei, Taiwan, China
- (1) TFR Group  
DPH - PFC  
Attn: Dr. A. Cavallo  
92260 Fontenay-aux Roses  
France
- (1) Thompson  
C.S.F./DET/TDH  
Attn: Dr. G. Mourier  
2 Rue Latecoere  
78140 Velizy Villa conblay  
France

- (3) US Army Electronics Technology And Devices Laboratory  
ATTN:  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703 DELET-DD  
DELET-B, I. Reingold  
DELET-I, H. Jacobs
- (1) Commander  
US Air Force Rome Air Development Center  
ATTN: RADC/ETEN, E. Altshuler  
L. G. Hanscomb Field  
Bedford, MA 01730
- (2) Commander  
US Army Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory  
ATTN:  
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002 H. Rachelle  
DRSEL-BL, Library
- (1) Commander  
US Army Foreign Science And Technology Center  
220 Seventh Street, NE  
ATTN: DRXST-SD, O. R. Harris  
Charlottesville, VA 29901
- (3) Commander  
US Army Missile Command  
ATTN:  
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809 DRSMI-RE, W. Lindberg  
DRDMI-TR, R. L. Hartman  
DRDMI-TB, Redstone Science Info. Center
- (5) Commander  
US Army Night Vision & Electro-Optics Laboratory  
ATTN:  
Ft Belvoir, Va 22060 DELNV-ACT, W. Ealy  
DELNV-VI, J. R. Moulton  
DELNV-L, R. Shurtz  
DELNV-L, R. C. Buser  
Library
- (1) Commander  
US Army Rsch & Std Gp (Eur)  
ATTN: D. Rheinhard  
FPO New York, 09510
- (4) Commander  
US Army Materiel Development & Readiness Command  
ATTN:  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333 DRXAM-TL, HQ Tech Library  
DRCDE, Dir Devel & Engr  
DRCMD-ST, K.S. Wiseman  
VRCLDC, J. Bender

- (1) Commander  
US Army Armament Materiel  
Readiness Command  
ATTN: DRSAR-LEP Technical Library  
Rock Island, IL 61299
- (1) Commander  
US Army Missile & Munitions  
Center & School  
ATTN: ATSK-CTD-F  
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809
- (1) Director  
US Army Materiel Systems Analysis  
Activity  
ATTN: DRXSY-MP  
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005
- (5) Director DRDAR-TSB-S (STINFO)  
US Army Ballistic Laboratory DRXBR, Director  
ATTN: DRDAR-BLB, R. McGee  
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005 DRDAR-BL, H. Reed  
DRXBR-TB, F. J. Allen
- (1) Commander  
US Army Armaments  
Research & Development Command  
ATTN: DRDAR-TD, R. E. Weigel  
Dover, NJ 07801
- (1) The Ivan A. Getting Lab  
The Aerospace Corporation  
ATTN: D. T. Hodges  
Los Angeles, CA 90009
- (3) Litton Industries, Inc P. Bahr  
Electron Tube Division J. Hull  
ATTN: J. Munger  
1035 Westminster Drive  
Williamsport, PA 17701
- (1) Mass Institute of Technology  
Francis Bitter National  
Magnet Laboratory  
ATTN: K. J. Button  
170 Albany Street  
Cambridge, MA 02139

(3)	Mass Institute of Technology Lincoln Laboratory ATTN: P.O. Box 73 Lexington, MA 02173	C. Blake H. R. Fetterman D. Temme
(3)	Commander US Army Research Office ATTN: Research Triangle Park Durham, NC 27709	DRXDO, H. Robl DRXDO-PH, R. Lontz DRXDO-EL, J. Suttle
(1)	Commander Ballistic Missile Defense Agency ATTN: BMD-ATC-D, C. Johnson Advanced Technology Center P.O. Box 1500 Huntsville, AL 35807	
(2)	Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ATTN: 1400 Wilson Blvd Arlington, VA 22209	TT0, J. Tengnelia ST0, S. Zakanycz
(1)	NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center ATTN: Code 723, N. McAvoy Greenbelt, MD 20771	
(2)	National Bureau of Standards Boulder, CO 80302	K. M. Evenson R. Phelan
(2)	Raytheon Company Microwave and Power Tube Div. ATTN: Foundry Avenue Waltham, MA 02154	L Clampitt R. Harper
(1)	Standford Research Institute ATTN: J. Watjen 3980 El Camino Road Palo Alto, CA 94306	
(1)	University of Illinois Department of Electrical Engineering--EERL-200 ATTN: P. D. Coleman Urbana, IL 61801	

- (1) Commander  
 Electronic Warfare Laboratory  
 ATTN: DELEW-D  
 Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703
- (2) US Army Electronics Research  
 & Development Command  
 2800 Powder Mill Road  
 Adelphi, MD 20783 DRDEL-CT, Tech Dir  
 DRDEL-AP-OA, B. Zarwyn
- (29) Commander  
 Harry Diamond Laboratories  
 2800 Powder Mill Road  
 Adelphi, MD 20783 CO/TD/TSO/Division Dir's  
 DELHD-TIA-A, Record Copy  
 DELHD-TIA-L, Library, (3 copies)  
 DELHD-TIA, WRF (Woodbridge)  
 Chairman, Editorial Committee  
 DELHD-TIA-R, R. Savage  
 DELHD-R-CM-AP, H. Dropkin  
 DELHD-R-A-AR, H. Gerlach  
 DELHD-RT-CB, J. Nemarich  
 DELHD-RT-C, R. McCoskey  
 DELHD-RT-CA, R. Leavitt  
 C. Morrison  
 J. Sattler  
 M. Tobin  
 D. Wortman  
 DELHD-RT-CB, Z. G. Sztankay  
 S. Kulpa  
 J. Silverstein  
 DELHD-RT-AR, K. Sann  
 DELHD-RT-CE, Chief  
 DELHD-NW-RC, H. Brandt  
 A. Bromborsky  
 J. Soln  
 DELHD-NW-RI, G. A. Huttlin  
 DELHD-R, H. Sommer  
 DELHD-RT-RS, Chief
- (1) Commander  
 Office of Missile Electronic Warfare  
 ATTN: DELEW-M-DD  
 White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002